

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,817	06/25/2007	Robert Joseph Montague Versey	12309-2	2346	
42188 7590 0608/2010 DANIEL B. SCHEIN, PH.D., ESQ., INC. P. O. BOX 68128			EXAMINER		
			YABUT, DANIEL D		
Virginia Beacl	ı, VA 23471		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/08/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## 10/589.817 VERSEY, ROBERT JOSEPH MONTAGUE Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

Application No.

Applicant(s)

	DANIEL TABUT	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s), in no event, however, may a may be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period or reply is specified above, the microman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period or reply is specified above, the microman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the microman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 81-100 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 81-100 are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on islare: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Repiacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b)  objected to by the l lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Application to the control of the contr	on No ed in this National	Stage				

Attachment(s)

O-948)

)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/589,817

Art Unit: 3656

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 81-91, 99 and 100, drawn to a steering apparatus.
- Group II, claim(s) 92-98, drawn to a method of transferring a handlebar of a steering apparatus between a second position and a first position.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Nielsen (US PG Publication 2003/0089191 A1) discloses all of the elements of independent claim 81. As such, there lacks a common special technical feature that corresponds between Groups I and II, thus a lack of unity.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

Application/Control Number: 10/589,817

Art Unit: 3656

shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper

Application/Control Number: 10/589,817

Art Unit: 3656

restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL YABUT whose telephone number is (571)270-5526. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard W. Ridley can be reached on (571)272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free), If you would

Application/Control Number: 10/589,817 Page 5

Art Unit: 3656

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DANIEL YABUT/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656 6/1/2010

/Richard WL Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656